



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/970,432 | 10/03/2001 | Harold O. Treece | 30545.11 | 4315 | |
| 27683 | 7590 03/17/2006 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP 901 MAIN STREET, SUITE 3100 DALLAS, TX 75202 | | | NOVOSAD, CHRISTOPHER J | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| , | | | 3671 | | |

DATE MAILED: 03/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| .• | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | 09/970,432 | TREECE, HAROLD O. | | | |
| Examiner-initiated interview duminary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Christopher J. Novosad | 3671 | | | |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: <u>Per</u> | <u>nding</u> | | | |
| (1) Mr. Christopher J. Novosad (Primary Examiner). | (3) | | | | |
| (2) Mr. Warren B Kice (Attorney for Applicant). | (4) | | | | |
| Date of Interview: 22 March 2005 | Time: | | | | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description: | nt's representative) | | | | |
| Part I. | | | | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: None | | | | | |
| Claims discussed: 1-51 and 90-108 | | | | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: None | | | | | |
| Part II. | | | | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet | | | | | |
| Part III. | | | | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| (Exappiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/ | Applicant's Representative Signature | gnature – if appropriate) | | | |

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-413B)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: An amendment that was filed in connection with the divisional of the above-identified reissue application was erroneously placed by the U.S. Patent Office in the file of the latter application. It is requested that a listing be submitted of the pending claims now in this reissue application..